

Centre for Comparative Literature PhD Advisory Committee: Assessment Form

Date of Meeting: _____

(Please note that the '*Date of Meeting*' must be filled in as we must enter this information every year on the Student Information Database (ROSI) --It is also important to note that the whole committee, and not only the supervisor, should meet with the student at least once a year)

Name of Candidate: _____

Thesis topic _____

Members of the Supervisory Committee:

- 1) **Supervisor:** _____
- 2) _____
- 3) _____
- 4) _____

1) **Date of Registration** _____

2) **Date of Field Exam** _____

- 3) **Status of Language requirements:**
 - 1st language other than English:* _____
 - 2nd language other than English:* _____
 - Reading of a third language other than English:* _____

Review of recommendations of previous committee meeting; have they been acted on?

Assessment of current status: each item to be rated excellent, good, or weak:

	Excellent	Good	Weak
Practical Plan of study or writing schedule			
Knowledge of primary and secondary literature			
Level of writing skills			
Industry			
Originality			

...2/

Has the candidate's CV been assessed?

Suggestions for improvement of weak areas:

Recommendations for professional development:

Candidate's comments:

Recommendations:

1) The candidate has demonstrated satisfactory progress and may proceed in the program:

OR

The candidate has not demonstrated adequate progress. Failure to demonstrate satisfactory progress by the time of the next meeting of the advisory committee may result in a recommendation to terminate candidacy;

OR

The candidate has not demonstrated adequate progress. Registration in the program should be terminated.

2) The advisory committee should meet in the nexty three/six/nine months.

Tentative Date:

Committee Chair's Signature

Candidate's Signature